

Proposal Title :	Uralla LEP 2012 - Amendment to E3 Environmental Managem		7 DP 1168505, Devoncourt Rd, Uralla, P Drafting Error
Proposal Summary :	Residential to E3 Environment and to correct a drafting error	al Management, amend the in Uralla LEP 2012 which ir	ncourt Rd, Uralla, from R5 Large Lot e lot size of Lot 7 DP 1168505 to 5ha, ncluded Zone E2 Environmental d in certain rural and environmental
PP Number :	PP_2012_URALL_001_00	Dop File No :	12/15045
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	13-Sep-2012	LGA covered :	Uralla
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Uralla Shire Council
State Electorate :	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Dev	voncourt Rd		
Suburb :	City :	Uralla	Postcode : 2358
Land Parcel : Lot	s 1 - 7 DP 1168505		
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lar	nd zoned E2 Environmental Conse	ervation	
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Craig Diss		
Contact Number :	0267019685		
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.au	I	
RPA Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :	Elizabeth Cumming		
Contact Number :	0267786300		
Contact Email :	council@uralla.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

Land Release Data

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A
MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	communications and meetin Region's knowledge. Northe	and Infrastructures's Code of Prangs with lobbyists has been comp ern Region has not met any lobbyi vised of any meeting between depa oposal.	lied with to the best of the sts in relation to this matter,
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	iectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		ded outcomes of the Planning Pro	posal are adequately
Explanation of provi	isions provided - s55(2)	(b)	
Is an explanation of pro-	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Planning Proposal p	rovides a satisfactory explanantio	n of the intended provisions.
Justification - s55 (2	e)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Direc	tor General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions ident		1.5 Rural Lands	
* May need the Director		2.1 Environment Protection Zone 2.3 Heritage Conservation	95

		 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement require	d? Yes
c) Consistent with Stand	lard Instrument (LEPs)	Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?	SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England LGA.	d North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Uralla
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) b	eing adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	-	oposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs and the rth West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.
		cies with relevant section 117 Directions are considered to be of minor are discussed below.
apping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	es	
Comment :		the site, the existing zoning and lot size standards, and the proposed ze standards have been provided and are considered to be adequate.
community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consulta	ation been proposed?)	fes
Comment :	day exhibition pe Fire Service and f Population and C	ntified the proposal as being 'low impact' and has recommended a 14 riod. The RPA has also recommended consultation with the NSW Rural the Federal Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, communities. These recommendations are supported subject to the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage in the agency consultation
dditional Director C	General's requirem	ients
Are there any additional	Director General's requ	uirements? No
If Yes, reasons :		
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	the proposal	
		Yes

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation Uralla LEP 2012 was notified on 23 March 2012. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	During the processing of a Development Application to create Lots 1-7 DP 1168505, Devoncourt Rd, Uralla, a vulnerable species (dichanthium setosum - bluegrass) and an endangerd ecological community (white box - yellow box - blakely's red gum grassy woodland and derived native grasslands) identified under the NSW Threatened Species Conservation (TSC) Act and the Commonwealth Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Act were identified on the land. In obtaining concurrence for a controlled action under the EPBC Act from the Commonwealth, it was agreed that the land be identified with an appropriate environmental zone. The Planning Proposal is required to finalise this matter and to rezone the land to E3 Environmental Management and to alter the minimum lot size standard for Lot 7 to 5ha to ensure no further subdivision of the land is possible (and thereby minimise any potential impacts above those already considered).
	The Planning Proposal is also required to remove Zone E2 Environmental Conservation from clause 4.2A Erection of dwellings on land in certain rural and environmental protection zones of Uralla LEP 2012. The inclusion of Zone E2 in clause 4.2A(2) has been

identified as a drafting error as dwellings are prohibited in the E2 Zone in the LEP.

	entar Management and Cont		
Consistency with strategic planning	The Planning Proposal is consid	dered to be consistent with a	II relevant SEPPs.
framework :	While no Regional Strategies ap Regional Land Use Plan does a with the provisions of the Regio	pply. The Planning Proposal	
	The New England Development Armidale Dumaresq, Guyra and General in March 2010. The sub strategy. The strategy does how are identified that appropriate p regard, the proposed rezoning a Council's approved strategy.	Walcha Councils) and was a ject land was not specifically vever recommend that as envi lanning provisions be applie	pproved by the Director / discussed or identified in the /ironmentally senstive areas d for their protection. In this
	The Planning Proposal is consid Directions, except in relation to Protection as discussed below (with 1.5 Rural Lands).	3.1 Residential Zones and 4.	4 Planning for Bushfire
	3.1 Residential Zones The proposal is considered to b of residential land available for minor significance due to the sr a dwelling on each lot will be rei affecting the site is likely to pre- residential land use.	development. The inconsiste nall quantity of land involved tained and as the level of env	ency is considered to be of d (20ha), as the ability to erect vironmental sensitvity
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect Lots 1-7 DP 1168505, Devoncou The Planning Proposal is consid contain all the required provisio consulted with the Commission Planning Proposal will be consis consultation with the NSW Rura	rt Rd, Uralla, are identified as dered to be inconsistent with ons as specified by the Direct er of the NSW Rural Fire Ser stent with this Direction afte	n this Direction as it does not tion and as the RPA has not yet vice. It is anticipated that the r post Gateway Determination
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Planning Proposal identifier matter and a positive environme provisions to better reflect the e	ental outcome due to the intr	oduction of planning
Assessment Process	3		
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month	Delegation :	DG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and Herit NSW Rural Fire Service	age	

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes

If no, provide reasons : It is recommneded that consultation be undertaken with the Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities that granted concurrence to the controlled action under the EPBC Act for the rural residential subdivision that commenced this process and the need for the rezoning. It is also

recommneded that consultation be undertaken with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage due to the environmentally sentitive nature of the land (and as the NSW TSC Act also applies).

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Uralla Planning Proposal - Amd No.1 - Covering Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Uralla Planning Proposal - Amd No.1.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Uralla Planning Proposal - Amd No.1 - Council Report and Minutes.pdf	Proposal	Yes
7650_COM_LZN_004C_020_20120824_SiteID_Admnt_1.pd f	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LSZ_004C_020_20120824_SiteID_Admnt_1.pd f	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LZN_004_160_20120207 - Existing Zoning.pdf	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LZN_004C_020_20120111 - Existing Zoning.pdf	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LSZ_004C_020_20120209 - Existing Lot Size.pdf	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LZN_004_160_20120824 - Proposed Zoning.pdf	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LZN_004C_020_20120824 - Proposed Zoning.pdf	Мар	Yes
7650_COM_LSZ_004C_020_20120824 - Proposed Lot Size.pdf	Мар	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.5 Rural Lands
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
	3.3 Home Occupations
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Additional Information :	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;

	 2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days; 3. That the following agencies be consulted prior to community consultation being undertaken: NSW Rural Fire Service Office of Environment and Heritage Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months; The Director General (or delegate) agree that the inconsistency with s117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones is of minor significance.
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is required to introduce planning provisions that better reflect the
	environmentally sensitive nature of the land and to correct a drafting error in clause 4.2A Erection of dwellings on land in certain rural and environmental protection zones.